Managed Care Program Annual Report (MCPAR) for Virginia: Medallion 4.0

Due date	Last edited	Edited by	Status
12/27/2023	12/15/2023	Ali Faruk	Submitted

Indicator	Response	
Exclusion of CHIP from MCPAR	Selected	
Enrollees in separate CHIP programs funded under Title XXI should not be reported in the MCPAR. Please check this box if the state is unable to remove information about Separate CHIP enrollees from its reporting on this program.		
its reporting on this program.		

Section A: Program Information

Point of Contact

Number	Indicator	Response
A1	State name	Virginia
	Auto-populated from your account profile.	
A2a	Contact name	Ali Faruk
	First and last name of the contact person. States that do not wish to list a specific individual on the report are encouraged to use a department or program-wide email address that will allow anyone with questions to quickly reach someone who can provide answers.	
A2b	Contact email address	ali.faruk@dmas.virginia.gov
	Enter email address. Department or program-wide email addresses ok.	
A3a	Submitter name	Ali Faruk
	CMS receives this data upon submission of this MCPAR report.	
A3b	Submitter email address	ali.faruk@dmas.virginia.gov
	CMS receives this data upon submission of this MCPAR report.	
A4	Date of report submission	12/21/2023
	CMS receives this date upon submission of this MCPAR report.	

Reporting Period

Number	Indicator	Response
A5a	Reporting period start date	07/01/2022
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	
A5b	Reporting period end date	06/30/2023
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	
A6	Program name	Medallion 4.0
	Auto-populated from report dashboard.	

Add plans (A.7)

Enter the name of each plan that participates in the program for which the state is reporting data.

Indicator	Response
Plan name	Aetna
	Anthem
	Molina
	Optima
	United Healthcare
	Virginia Premier

Add BSS entities (A.8)

Enter the names of Beneficiary Support System (BSS) entities that support enrollees in the program for which the state is reporting data. Learn more about BSS entities at <u>42 CFR 438.71</u>. See Glossary in Excel Workbook for the definition of BSS entities.

Examples of BSS entity types include a: State or Local Government Entity, Ombudsman Program, State Health Insurance Program (SHIP), Aging and Disability Resource Network (ADRN), Center for Indepedent Living (CIL), Legal Assistance Organization, Community-based Organization, Subcontractor, Enrollment Broker, Consultant, or Academic/Research Organization.

Indicator	Response
BSS entity name	Maximus

Section B: State-Level Indicators

Topic I. Program Characteristics and Enrollment

Number	Indicator	Response
BI.1	Statewide Medicaid enrollment	1,931,725
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in Medicaid per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months). Include all FFS and managed care enrollees and count each person only once, regardless of the delivery system(s) in which they are enrolled.	
B1.2	Statewide Medicaid managed care enrollment	1,931,185
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in any type of Medicaid managed care per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months). Include all managed care programs and count each person only once, even if they are enrolled in multiple managed care programs or plans.	

Topic III. Encounter Data Report

Number	Indicator	Response
BIII.1	Data validation entity	State Medicaid agency staff
	Select the state agency/division or contractor tasked with	EQRO
	evaluating the validity of encounter data submitted by MCPs. Encounter data validation includes verifying the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, and/or consistency of encounter data records submitted to the state by Medicaid managed care plans. Validation steps may include pre-acceptance edits and post-acceptance analyses. See Glossary in Excel Workbook for more information.	Proprietary system(s)
BIII.2	HIPAA compliance of proprietary system(s) for encounter data validation	Yes
	Were the system(s) utilized fully HIPAA compliant? Select one.	

Topic X: Program Integrity

BX.1

Payment risks between the state and plans

Describe service-specific or other focused PI activities that the state conducted during the past year in this managed care program.

Examples include analyses focused on use of long-term services and supports (LTSS) or prescription drugs or activities that focused on specific payment issues to identify, address, and prevent fraud, waste or abuse. Consider data analytics, reviews of under/overutilization, and other activities.

Program Integrity meets quarterly with the Manage Care Plans to discuss and share fraud, waste and abuse identified in Medicaid services. The collaboration has proven effective, sharing information across Plans, with so many providers in multiple Plan networks. This year we developed an extension of the quarterly collaborative, the BRAVO Workgroup, where Program Integrity meets with Plans monthly to talk about fraud, waste and abuse found in behavioral health services. Specifically, a focus was put on outlier providers providing Community Stabilization and Mobile Crisis services. This joint effort continues as we apply program integrity oversight of the services. Fraud and Detection System - Analytics FADS, or the Fraud and Detection System, has various components and modules. This summary provides a high-level overview of the capabilities of the analytics focused components: Algorithms are analytics custom designed for a specific purpose and deployed by the Optum FADS team quarterly in collaboration with the DMAS PID FADS Analytics team. So far, the following eleven algorithms have been

developed and deployed. Excessive Mental Health Services By Servicing NPI (FA207A) - Identifies providers rendering excessive mental health services, excluding mental health centers. The report displays a report with servicing providers that exceed the threshold of services provided per member. LTC Members with No Patient Pay Obligation Amount (FA469B) Detects LTC members with a patient pay obligation amount of zero. Patient pay obligation is the amount a member in a LTC Facility is responsible for paying toward their Long Term Services and Support (LTSS) bill that is based on their income. Excessive Physician Hours per Day Summary (FA446A) Detects servicing providers who bill an excessive number of hours per day. The hours billed may be distributed across multiple claims by the same physician and are billable by a variety of provider types. Excessive Use of Miscellaneous Codes Servicing Provider Summary (FA065A) Identifies summary information for servicing providers billing 5 or more unlisted procedure codes in a quarter. DRG Inpatient and Readmission /Transfers Summary (FA479A) Detects inpatient facilities that are readmitting/transferring patients within 30 days or less from being discharged. These

situations are considered a single admittance rather than two. The first claim should be adjusted to include the payment for both claims. The readmit/transfer claim should be voided. Misuse of Evaluation and Management – New Office Visits and Established office Visits (FA438A) Identifies servicing providers who bill multiple new office visit evaluation and management (E&M) procedure codes or incorrectly use new office visits evaluation and management procedure codes in place of established office visit E&M procedure codes for the same member within a three year period. This algorithm also reports on any other evaluation and management services that are billed on the same date of service for the same member as a new or established office visit. Postmortem Services – Member (FA064A) Identifies paid claim lines with a date of service (DOS) that is after a member's date of death (DOD) and excludes certain reinstatement codes to prevent false positives. This algorithm focuses on all services that appear to have been rendered (based on the date of service) after the DOD and subsequently paid. The member's DOD comes from the member file. Time Limited services (FA484A) This algorithm identifies the servicing provider

and corresponding claims where a provider has ordered time-limited services that exceeds identified time limits. The provider Summary will quickly identify which providers exceed the limit and how often they are exceeding the identified time limit. COVID-19 Lab Testing (FA482A) This algorithm identifies the billing provider on claims where a provider has ordered additional lab testing for a member in conjunction with a COVID-19 test. The summary report includes claim counts for COVID-19 testing and claim counts for additional lab tests performed on the same DOS for the same member. IDs In Multiple Algorithms This report compiles all of the providers by NPI that have appeared on multiple of the algorithms listed above. It details how many distinct algorithms the provider was found on, and how many times between them. Provider Activity Spike Detection This semiconfigurable report allows the user to select a recent time period to view providers with a significant increase/decrease (spike) in billing activity. Long Term Care Facility Review This report compiles a list of facilities and providers that bill Medicaid member's part of a Long Term Care (LTC) facility, where ostensibly the majority of their care should be covered by the

LTC facility itself. High Cost Members Report This list compiles the Medicaid members with the highest expenditures. Additional information is included in the report like the member's aid category, how many distinct diagnoses they have, how many providers they see, etc. Top N Reports A number of reports that compile the most commonly occurring data elements among DMAS claims data: • Top N Diagnosis Codes ● Procedure Codes ● Top N NDC Codes • Top N GDRG

BX.2 Contract standard for overpayments

Does the state allow plans to retain overpayments, require the return of overpayments, or has established a hybrid system? Select one.

State has established a hybrid system

BX.3 Location of contract provision stating overpayment standard

Describe where the overpayment standard in the previous indicator is located in plan contracts, as required by 42 CFR 438.608(d)(1)(i).

Section 11.11.A Formal Initiation of Recovery

BX.4 Description of overpayment contract standard

Briefly describe the overpayment standard (for example, details on whether the state allows plans to retain overpayments, requires the plans to return overpayments,

The Contractor shall notify the Department prior to formal initiation of a recovery from an investigation by the Contractor on its own network. Submission of the Completed Investigation Form will serve as this notification. The Contractor

or administers a hybrid system) selected in indicator B.X.2.

shall not proceed with any recoupment or withholding of any program integrity-related funds until the Department confirms that the recoupment or withhold is permissible. The contractor shall submit adjusted encounters reflecting any identified overpayments (regardless of whether they are recovered) and report to the Department via the Quarterly Fraud/Waste/Abuse Report on any overpayments that have not been collected. The Contractor shall notify the Department prior to formal initiation of a recovery from an investigation by the Contractor on its own network. Submission of the Completed Investigation Form will serve as this notification. The Contractor shall not proceed with any recoupment or withholding of any program integrity-related funds until the Department confirms that the recoupment or withhold is permissible. The contractor shall submit adjusted encounters reflecting any identified overpayments (regardless of whether they are recovered) and report to the Department via the Quarterly Fraud/Waste/Abuse Report on any overpayments that have not been collected.

BX.5 State overpayment reporting monitoring

Overview The External Provider and Policy Review Unit (EPAP) was a new Program Integrity

Describe how the state monitors plan performance in reporting overpayments to the state, e.g. does the state track compliance with this requirement and/or timeliness of reporting?
The regulations at 438.604(a) (7), 608(a)(2) and 608(a)(3) require plan reporting to the state on various overpayment pieces (whether annually or promptly). This indicator is asking the state how it monitors that reporting.

Unit in FY18. Each Managed Care Organization (MCO) is required to establish their own internal program integrity unit to guard against fraud, waste, and/or abuse of Medicaid program benefits and resources. The EPAP unit provides oversight to the MCO program integrity units and primarily focuses on ensuring compliance with the Medallion and CCC+ contracts. The EPAP unit will perform audits of contractor review documentation to ensure contract requirements are being met. EPAP follows policies and procedures within the Program Integrity section of the CCC Plus and Medallion contracts that outline the requirements for the contractor to uphold and how EPAP will conduct the review process. We Track timeliness and compliance by review and reconciliation of the quarterly report. Annual Review Process EPAP does not follow an audit plan but will provide direct DMAS oversight of the MCO and contractor Program Integrity Plans. This unit is like "the APA" of the MCO Program Integrity Units;" DMAS will select reviews to ensure they were completed in accordance with policies and procedures, contract requirements, and the Code of Virginia. Contractors are required to submit electronically to DMAS each quarter all

activities conducted on behalf of Program Integrity by the Contractor and include findings related to these activities. This report will serve as the annual report of overpayment recoveries required under 42 C.F.R. §§ 438.604(a)(7), 438.606, and 438.608(d)(3). The report must include, but is not limited to, the following: 1. Allegations received and results of preliminary review 2. Investigations conducted and outcome 3. Payment Suspension notices received and suspended payments summary 4. Claims Edits/Automated Review summary 5. Coordination of Benefits/Third-Party Liability savings and recoveries 6. Service Authorization/Medical Necessity savings 7. Provider Education Savings 8. Provider Screening reviews and denials 9. Providers Terminated 10. Unsolicited Refunds (Provideridentified Overpayments) 11. Archived Referrals (Historical Cases) 12. Other Activities Upon submission, DMAS will review the Quarterly Fraud/Waste/Abuse Overpayment Report. This evaluation will examine ongoing reporting as well as the contents of the report to ensure that all contractual requirements are being met. Each MCO is required to complete an Internal Monitoring and Audit Plan which

identifies the scope of reviews that will be performed during the year. DMAS will evaluate progress towards the Internal Monitoring and Audit Plan required to identify any major changes or shortcomings to projected program integrity activity. DMAS will evaluate this submission and provide feedback to the Contractor. A minimum number of investigations shall be conducted annually based on total dollars in medical claims expenditures. Investigations conducted by the Contractor shall involve the review of medical records for claims representing at least 3 percent of total medical expenditures. Personnel Structure and Experience within EPAP EPAP unit is embedded in the Program Integrity Division. EPAP is comprised of 3 analysts, and one supervisor. Although there are no required certifications or licenses, the EPAP staff have experience in Medicaid auditing and contract compliance.

Changes in beneficiary circumstances

Describe how the state ensures timely and accurate reconciliation of enrollment files between the state and plans to ensure appropriate payments for enrollees experiencing a change in status (e.g., incarcerated, deceased, switching plans).

"All initial member MCO enrollments and assignments are done in the state MES. All member enrollment and MCO changes are done within MES and communicated to the MCOs via a weekly enrollment roster (EDI 834). Enrollment Broker changes are also done directly in MES. The state MES is always the system of record for member enrollment. PMPM capitation payments are generated by the state MES based on the member enrollment data. Any changes to member data automatically trigger PMPM capitation adjustments which retract/adjust previous payments made to the MCO. In addition, MES does a reconciliation of member enrollment vs capitation payments each quarter to ensure that all historical payments have been made accurately."

BX.7a Changes in provider circumstances: Monitoring plans

Does the state monitor whether plans report provider "for cause" terminations in a timely manner under 42 CFR 438.608(a)(4)? Select one.

Yes

BX.7b Changes in provider circumstances: Metrics

Yes

Does the state use a metric or indicator to assess plan reporting performance? Select one.

BX.7c Changes in provider circumstances: Describe metric

Describe the metric or indicator that the state uses.

DMAS requests that the MCO identify providers whose terminations were associated with PI-related findings for the purposes of the quarterly report. As part of the overall MCO oversight conducted by the Program Integrity Division, the MCOs are required to document in their quarterly reports provider terminations. The provider terminations are documented on the designated tab of the quarterly report. The quarterly report is submitted to the Program Integrity Division for review of the MCOs program integrity efforts. The quarterly report is how PI tracks timely reporting of provider termination "for cause". As pursuant to 42 CFR 438.608(a) (4), the quarterly report is used for the timely reporting of provider termination "for cause".

BX.8a Federal database checks: Excluded person or entities

During the state's federal database checks, did the state find any person or entity excluded? Select one. Consistent with the requirements at 42 CFR 455.436 and 438.602, the State must confirm the identity and determine the exclusion status of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM

No

or PCCM entity, any subcontractor, as well as any person with an ownership or control interest, or who is an agent or managing employee of the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, PCCM or PCCM entity through routine checks of Federal databases.

BX.9a Website posting of 5 percent or more ownership control

Does the state post on its website the names of individuals and entities with 5% or more ownership or control interest in MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, PCCMs and PCCM entities and subcontractors? Refer to §455.104 and required by 42 CFR 438.602(g)(3).

No

BX.10 Periodic audits

If the state conducted any audits during the contract year to determine the accuracy, truthfulness, and completeness of the encounter and financial data submitted by the plans, what is the link(s) to the audit results? Refer to 42 CFR 438.602(e).

state has a hybrid system

Section C: Program-Level Indicators

Topic I: Program Characteristics

Number	Indicator	Response
C1I.1	Program contract Enter the title of the contract between the state and plans participating in the managed care program.	Medallion 4.0 Managed Care Services Agreement
N/A	Enter the date of the contract between the state and plans participating in the managed care program.	07/01/2022
C11.2	Contract URL Provide the hyperlink to the model contract or landing page for executed contracts for the program reported in this program.	https://www.dmas.virginia.gov/media/4981/medallion-40-sfy23.pdf
C11.3	Program type What is the type of MCPs that contract with the state to provide the services covered under the program? Select one.	Managed Care Organization (MCO)
C1I.4a	Special program benefits Are any of the four special benefit types covered by the managed care program: (1)	Behavioral health Transportation

behavioral health, (2) long-term services and supports, (3) dental, and (4) transportation, or (5) none of the above? Select one or more. Only list the benefit type if it is a covered service as specified in a contract between the state and managed care plans participating in the program. Benefits available to eligible program enrollees via feefor-service should not be listed here.

C11.4b Variation in special benefits

N/A

What are any variations in the availability of special benefits within the program (e.g. by service area or population)? Enter "N/A" if not applicable.

C11.5 Program enrollment

1,630,039

Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in this managed care program per month during the reporting year (i.e., average member months).

C11.6 Changes to enrollment or benefits

Briefly explain any major changes to the population enrolled in or benefits provided by the managed care program during the reporting year.

Telehealth and telemedicine services; coverage of mobile vision services; coverage of adult preventive services; doula services went live in Aug 2022 with managed care plans;

Topic III: Encounter Data Report

Number	Indicator	Response
C1III.1	Uses of encounter data	Rate setting
	For what purposes does the state use encounter data collected from managed care plans (MCPs)? Select one or more. Federal regulations require that states, through their contracts with MCPs, collect and maintain sufficient enrollee encounter data to identify the provider who delivers any item(s) or service(s) to enrollees (42 CFR 438.242(c)(1)).	Quality/performance measurement Monitoring and reporting Contract oversight Policy making and decision support Other, specify – Pharmacy Rebates
C1III.2	Criteria/measures to evaluate MCP performance What types of measures are used by the state to evaluate managed care plan performance in encounter data submission and correction? Select one or more. Federal regulations also require that states validate that submitted enrollee encounter data they receive is a complete and accurate representation of the services provided to enrollees under the contract between the state and the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP. 42 CFR 438.242(d).	Timeliness of initial data submissions Timeliness of data certifications Use of correct file formats Overall data accuracy (as determined through data validation)
C1III.3	Encounter data performance criteria contract language Provide reference(s) to the contract section(s) that describe the criteria by which managed care plan performance on encounter data submission and correction will be measured. Use contract	Section 14 (Encounters) of the Medallion SFY 2023 contract.

will be measured. Use contract

section references, not page numbers.

C1III.4 Financial penalties contract language

Provide reference(s) to the contract section(s) that describes any financial penalties the state may impose on plans for the types of failures to meet encounter data submission and quality standards. Use contract section references, not page numbers.

Sections 13.5.D (Data Quality Penalties) and section 14.2.A (Data Quality Requirements) in the Medallion SFY 2023 contract.

C1III.5 Incentives for encounter data quality

Describe the types of incentives that may be awarded to managed care plans for encounter data quality. Reply with "N/A" if the plan does not use incentives to award encounter data quality.

MCO rates are based on encounter data, so the MCOs are incentivized to submit complete and accurate encounter data.

C1III.6 Barriers to collecting/validating encounter data

Describe any barriers to collecting and/or validating managed care plan encounter data that the state has experienced during the reporting period.

• Documentation of EDI translator rules (compliance check) • IT turnaround time for MCOs to comply with SMA changes • Restrictions on number of records in EDI files • Issues with submission of adjustments & voids for failed originals • Timeliness of code set updates for encounter edits • Onboarding of new MCO systems and subcontractors requires extensive testing and staff resources.

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Number	Indicator	Response
C1IV.1	State's definition of "critical incident," as used for reporting purposes in its MLTSS program	N/A
	If this report is being completed for a managed care program that covers LTSS, what is the definition that the state uses for "critical incidents" within the managed care program? Respond with "N/A" if the managed care program does not cover LTSS.	
C1IV.2	State definition of "timely" resolution for standard appeals	As expeditiously as the Member's health condition requires and not to exceed
	Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for standard appeals in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(2), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of standard appeals that is no longer than 30 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal.	thirty (30) calendar days from the initial date of receipt of the internal appeal request.
C1IV.3	State definition of "timely" resolution for expedited appeals	As expeditiously as the Member's health condition requires and not to exceed
	Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for expedited appeals in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(3), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of expedited appeals that is no longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal.	seventy-two (72) hours from the initial receipt of the appeal.

C1IV.4 State definition of "timely" resolution for grievances

Provide the state's definition of timely resolution for grievances in the managed care program. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(1), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of grievances that is no longer than 90 calendar days from the day the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the grievance.

As expeditiously as the Member's health condition requires, within state established timeframes not to exceed ninety (90) calendar days from the date the Contractor receives the grievance in a format and language that meets, at a minimum, the standards described in 42 CFR § 438.10.

Topic V. Availability, Accessibility and Network Adequacy

Network Adequacy

Number	Indicator	Response
C1V.1	Gaps/challenges in network adequacy What are the state's biggest challenges? Describe any challenges MCPs have maintaining adequate networks and meeting standards.	Meeting network adequacy time and distinct standards in rural areas or areas where there is only one zip code in the region. Specifically in Bath Co., Highland Co., Fauquier Co., Campbell Co., and Bedford Co.
C1V.2	State response to gaps in network adequacy How does the state work with MCPs to address gaps in network adequacy?	Managed Care Organizations(MCO) submit quarterly provider network files based on requirements outlined in the Medallion 4.0 Network Requirements Submission Manual; which outlines the methodology that is used to determine adequacy in specific regions/ FIPS codes as it relates to time and distance standards. The quarterly provider files are analyzed internally, each MCOs strengths and weakness of their individual networks are documented and each plan receives a summarized finding of areas where the MCO does not meet time and distance standards based on contractual standards; along with a summary of the MCOs Network Adequacy Scorecard and Network Adequacy Map. Each MCO is given five business days to submit a Network Adequacy Exemption Request form to the Department. The form allows the MCO to detail the rational for an exception of not meeting

adequacy standards for the out-

compliance region, a detail list of providers closest to the out of compliance region and the MCOs plan of action to meet time and distant standards in the out of compliance region. The Department analyzes the each MCOs Network Adequacy Exemption Request form and provides feedback to each MCO.

Access Measures

Describe the measures the state uses to monitor availability, accessibility, and network adequacy. Report at the program level.

Revisions to the Medicaid managed care regulations in 2016 and 2020 built on existing requirements that managed care plans maintain provider networks sufficient to ensure adequate access to covered services by: (1) requiring states to develop quantitative network adequacy standards for at least eight specified provider types if covered under the contract, and to make these standards available online; (2) strengthening network adequacy monitoring requirements; and (3) addressing the needs of people with long-term care service needs (42 CFR 438.66; 42 CFR 438.68).

42 CFR 438.66(e) specifies that the MCPAR must provide information on and an assessment of the availability and accessibility of covered services within the MCO, PHIP, or PAHP contracts, including network adequacy standards for each managed care program.



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

1 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Primary Care Provider (PCP)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Primary care Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

2/46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Primary Care Provider

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Primary care Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

3 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pediatrician (Pediatrics)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pediatrics Urban Pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

4 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pediatrician (Pediatrics)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pediatrician Rural Pediatric

(Pediatrics)

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

5 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

OB/GYN (Obstetrics & Gynecology)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

OB/GYN Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.2 Measure standard

OB/GYN (Obstetrics & Gynecology)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider	C2.V.5 Region	C2.V.6 Population
OB/GYN	Rural	Adult and
(Obstetrics &		pediatric
Gynecology)		

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

7 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Outpatient Mental Health (Behavioral Health & Social Service Providers)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

8 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Outpatient Mental Health (Behavioral Health & Social Service Providers)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population
Outpatient Rural Adult and
Mental Health pediatric
(Behavioral
Health & Social

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

Service

Providers)

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pharmacy

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pharmacy Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

10 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pharmacy

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pharmacy Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods



11 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

General Hospital (Acute Care Hosptial)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Hospital Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

12 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

General Hospital (Acute Care Hospital)

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Hospital Rural

Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

13 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Allergy/Immunology and Respiratory Rehabilitation

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider

C2.V.5 Region

C2.V.6 **Population**

Allergy/Immunology Urban and Respiratory Rehabilitation

Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.2 Measure standard

Allergy/Immunology and Respiratory Rehabilitation

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider	C2.V.5 Region	C2.V.6
Allergy/Immunology	Rural	Population
and Respiratory		Adult and
Rehabilitation		pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

15 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Other Specialist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider	C2.V.5 Region	C2.V.6 Population
Other Specialist	Urban	Adult and
		pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods



16 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Other Specialist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Other Specialist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

17 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Otolaryngology

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Otolaryngology Urban

Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

18 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Otolaryngology

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Otolaryngology Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

19 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pain Medicine

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pain Medicine Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

20 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Pain Medicine

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Pain Medicine Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



21 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

Physical Urban Adult and Medicine and pediatric Rehabilitation

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

22 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider	C2.V.5 Region	C2.V.6 Population
Physical	Rural	Adult and
Medicine and		pediatric
Rehabilitation		

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

23 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Psychiatry

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Psychiatry Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

24 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Psychiatry

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Psychiatry Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

25 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Neurology

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Neurology Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.2 Measure standard

Neurology

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Neurology Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

27 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Cardiologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Cardiologist Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods



28 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Cardiologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Cardiologist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

29 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Clinical Medical Laboratory

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Urban

Clinical Medical Laboratory Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

30 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Clinical Medical Laboratory

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Clinical Medical Rural Adult and Laboratory pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

31 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Endocrinologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Endocrinologist Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

32 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Endocrinologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Endocrinologist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



33 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Nephrologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Nephrologist Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

34 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Nephrologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Nephrologist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

35 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Ophthalmologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6
Ophthalmologist Urban Population
Adult and

pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

36 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Ophthalmologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region

Ophthalmologist Rural

C2.V.6 Population

Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

37 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Podiatrist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Podiatrist Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



38 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Podiatrist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Podiatrist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

39 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Radiologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Radiologist Urban Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

40 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Radiologist

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Radiologist Rural Adult and pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

41 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Skilled Nursing Facility

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Skilled Nursing Urban Adult and Facility pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

42 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Skilled Nursing Facility

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Skilled Nursing Rural Adult

Facility

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

43 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Urgent Care Center

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Urgent Care Urban Adult and Center pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

44 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Urgent Care Center

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Urgent Care Rural Adult and Center pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



45 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Early Intervention

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Early Urban Adult and Intervention pediatric

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methods

Quarterly



C2.V.1 General category: General quantitative availability and accessibility standard

46 / 46

C2.V.2 Measure standard

Early Intervention

C2.V.3 Standard type

Maximum time or distance

C2.V.4 Provider C2.V.5 Region C2.V.6 Population

Early Rural Pediatric

Intervention

C2.V.7 Monitoring Methods

Geomapping

C2.V.8 Frequency of oversight methodsQuarterly

Topic IX: Beneficiary Support System (BSS)

Number	Indicator	Response
C1IX.1	List the website(s) and/or email address that beneficiaries use to seek assistance from the BSS through electronic means. Separate entries with commas.	https://www.virginiamanagedcare.com/
C1IX.2	BSS auxiliary aids and services How do BSS entities offer services in a manner that is accessible to all beneficiaries who need their services, including beneficiaries with disabilities, as required by 42 CFR 438.71(b)(2))? CFR 438.71 requires that the beneficiary support system be accessible in multiple ways including phone, Internet, inperson, and via auxiliary aids and services when requested.	BSS Entity: Maximus BSS Phone Number: Toll Free at 1-800-643-2273 BSS IVR: Automated phone system that allows callers to access information via prerecorded messages without having to speak to an agent, as well as to utilize menu options to have their call routed to specific departments. BSS Website: https://www.virginiamanagedcare.com BSS Cell Phone App: Virginia Medallion on Google Play or the App Store BSS Auxiliary Aids and Services: TTY: (teletypewriter) 1-800-817-6608, BSS Language/Translation interpreter line along with Spanish Bilingual employees staffed, BSS Marketing Materials website and printed marketing materials are created in large print for individuals with visual impairments.
C1IX.3	How do BSS entities assist the state with identifying, remediating, and resolving systemic issues based on a review of LTSS program data	BSS ERB reports to the Contract Administrator via email, and or via good cause cases sent via CTS, all critical incidents, grievances, and appeals requests reported by Members and or Providers when

of LTSS program data assistance and decision making is such as grievances and appeals or critical required by DMAS. The BSS ERB CSR's incident data? Refer to 42 educate and counsel callers of the CFR 438.71(d)(4). Medicaid/Managed Care policies,

procedures, and appeals process when needed, also identifying issues that require escalation and reporting to DMAS.

C1IX.4 State evaluation of BSS entity performance

What are steps taken by the state to evaluate the quality, effectiveness, and efficiency of the BSS entities' performance? BSS ERB included however not limited to, submits weekly, monthly and annual reports to the Contract Administrator regarding MCO helpline call summary, enrollment data, complaint logs, activity reports, webtrends, daily call stats, material inventory, SLA reports, staffing reports, IVR/Call Center phone activity, good cause report, change reports, EB invoices, health status assessments, and customer satisfaction surveys. The Contract Administrator also conducts call monitoring, meetings with BSS ERB leadership to discuss current initiatives and performance, as well as other monitoring efforts to ensure the ERB is within compliance of their contract.

Topic X: Program Integrity

Number	Indicator	Response
C1X.3	Prohibited affiliation disclosure	No
	Did any plans disclose prohibited affiliations? If the state took action, enter those actions under D: Plan-level Indicators, Section VIII - Sanctions (Corresponds with Tab D3 in the Excel Workbook). Refer to 42 CFR 438.610(d).	

Section D: Plan-Level Indicators

Topic I. Program Characteristics & Enrollment

Number	Indicator	Response
D1I.1	Plan enrollment	Aetna
	Enter the average number of individuals enrolled in the plan per month during the reporting	222,385
	year (i.e., average member months).	Anthem
	monensy.	495,404
		Molina
		107,284
		Optima
		314,991
		United Healthcare
		178,070
		176,070
		Virginia Premier
		318,509
D11.2	Plan share of Medicaid	Aetna
	What is the plan enrollment	12%
	(within the specific program) as a percentage of the state's total	
	Medicaid enrollment?	Anthem
	Numerator: Plan enrollment (D1.I.1)	26%
,	 Denominator: Statewide Medicaid enrollment (B.l.1) 	Molina
		6%
		6%
		6% Optima

United	Healt	hcare
--------	-------	-------

9%

Virginia Premier

16%

D11.3 Plan share of any Medicaid managed care

What is the plan enrollment (regardless of program) as a percentage of total Medicaid enrollment in any type of managed care?

- Numerator: Plan enrollment (D1.I.1)
- Denominator: Statewide Medicaid managed care enrollment (B.I.2)

Aetna

12%

Anthem

26%

Molina

6%

Optima

16%

United Healthcare

9%

Virginia Premier

16%

Topic II. Financial Performance

Number	Indicator	Response
D1II.1a	Medical Loss Ratio (MLR)	Aetna
	What is the MLR percentage? Per 42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(i), the Managed Care Program Annual	85%
	Report must provide	Anthem
information on the Financial performance of each MCO, PIHP, and PAHP, including MLR experience.	84%	
	If MLR data are not available for	Molina
this reporting period due to data lags, enter the MLR calculated for the most recently available reporting period and	89%	
	indicate the reporting period in item D1.II.3 below. See Glossary	Optima
	in Excel Workbook for the regulatory definition of MLR.	89%
		United Healthcare
		88%
		Virginia Premier
		90%
D1II.1b	Level of aggregation	Aetna
	What is the aggregation level	Program-specific statewide

What is the aggregation level that best describes the MLR being reported in the previous indicator? Select one. As permitted under 42 CFR 438.8(i), states are allowed to aggregate data for reporting purposes across programs and populations. Aetna Program-specific statewide Anthem Program-specific statewide Molina Program-specific statewide

Program-specific statewide

United Healthcare

Program-specific statewide

Virginia Premier

Program-specific statewide

D1II.2 Population specific MLR description

Does the state require plans to submit separate MLR calculations for specific populations served within this program, for example, MLTSS or Group VIII expansion enrollees? If so, describe the populations here. Enter "N/A" if not applicable. See glossary for the regulatory definition of MLR.

Aetna

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

Anthem

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

Molina

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

Optima

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

United Healthcare

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group

VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

Virginia Premier

Separate calculations for Base Medicaid members and Group VIII Medicaid Expansion members.

D1II.3	MLR reporting period
	discrepancies

Does the data reported in item D1.II.1a cover a different time period than the MCPAR report?

Aetna

Yes

Anthem

Yes

Molina

Yes

Optima

Yes

United Healthcare

Yes

Virginia Premier

Yes

N/A Enter the start date.

Aetna

07/01/2021

Anthem

07/01/2021

Molina

07/01/2021

Optima

07/01/2021

United Healthcare

07/01/2021

Virginia Premier

07/01/2021

N/A Enter the end date.

Aetna

06/30/2022

Anthem

06/30/2022

Molina

06/30/2022

Optima

06/30/2022

United Healthcare

06/30/2022

Virginia Premier

Topic III. Encounter Data

Number	Indicator	Response
D1III.1	Definition of timely encounter data submissions Describe the state's standard for timely encounter data submissions used in this program. If reporting frequencies and standards differ by type of encounter within this program, please explain.	Aetna Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."
		Anthem
		Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."
		Molina
		Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit

Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."

Optima

Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit

complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."

United Healthcare

Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."

Virginia Premier

Section 14.2.A.5 in the Medallion SFY 2022 contract: "Submit complete, timely, reasonable, and accurate encounter data to the Department within thirty (30) days of the Contractor's payment cycle and in the form and manner specified by the Department."

D1III.2 Share of encounter data submissions that met state's timely submission requirements

What percent of the plan's encounter data file submissions (submitted during the reporting period) met state requirements for timely submission? If the state has not yet received

Aetna

99%

Anthem

100%

Molina

any encounter data file submissions for the entire contract period when it submits this report, the state should enter here the percentage of encounter data submissions that were compliant out of the file submissions it has received from the managed care plan for the reporting period.

.

62%

Optima

93%

United Healthcare

99%

Virginia Premier

94%

D1III.3 Share of encounter data submissions that were HIPAA compliant

What percent of the plan's encounter data submissions (submitted during the reporting period) met state requirements for HIPAA compliance? If the state has not yet received encounter data submissions for the entire contract period when it submits this report, enter here percentage of encounter data submissions that were compliant out of the proportion received from the managed care plan for the reporting period.

Aetna

98%

Anthem

99%

Molina

99%

Optima

99%

United Healthcare

100%

Virginia Premier

100%

Topic IV. Appeals, State Fair Hearings & Grievances

Appeals Overview

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.1	Appeals resolved (at the plan	Aetna
	level)	550
	Enter the total number of	
	appeals resolved during the reporting year.	Anthem
	An appeal is "resolved" at the	2,735
	plan level when the plan has	
	issued a decision, regardless of whether the decision was	Molina
	wholly or partially favorable or	8,380
	adverse to the beneficiary, and	
	regardless of whether the beneficiary's	Optima
	representative) chooses to file a	283
	request for a State Fair Hearing	
	or External Medical Review.	United Healthcare
		604
		Virginia Premier
		2,000
		2,990
		2,990
D1IV.2	Active appeals	Aetna
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of	
D1IV.2		Aetna
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in	Aetna
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem 328
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem 328 Molina
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem 328 Molina
D1IV.2	Enter the total number of appeals still pending or in process (not yet resolved) as of	Aetna 85 Anthem 328 Molina 690

United	Healthcare
--------	------------

86

Virginia Premier

120

D1IV.3 Appeals filed on behalf of LTSS users

Enter the total number of appeals filed during the reporting year by or on behalf of LTSS users. Enter "N/A" if not applicable.

An LTSS user is an enrollee who received at least one LTSS service at any point during the reporting year (regardless of whether the enrollee was actively receiving LTSS at the time that the appeal was filed).

Aetna

0

Anthem

9

Molina

24

Optima

7

United Healthcare

2

Virginia Premier

89

D1IV.4 Number of critical incidents

filed during the reporting period by (or on behalf of) an LTSS user who previously filed an appeal

For managed care plans that cover LTSS, enter the number of critical incidents filed within

Aetna

0

Anthem

the reporting period by (or on behalf of) LTSS users who previously filed appeals in the reporting year. If the managed care plan does not cover LTSS, enter "N/A".

Also, if the state already submitted this data for the reporting year via the CMS readiness review appeal and grievance report (because the managed care program or plan were new or serving new populations during the reporting year), and the readiness review tool was submitted for at least 6 months of the reporting year, enter "N/A".

The appeal and critical incident do not have to have been "related" to the same issue - they only need to have been filed by (or on behalf of) the same enrollee. Neither the critical incident nor the appeal need to have been filed in relation to delivery of LTSS — they may have been filed for any reason, related to any service received (or desired) by an LTSS user.

To calculate this number, states or managed care plans should first identify the LTSS users for whom critical incidents were filed during the reporting year, then determine whether those enrollees had filed an appeal during the reporting year, and whether the filing of the appeal preceded the filing of the critical incident.

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

N/A

Virginia Premier

0

D1IV.5a Standard appeals for which timely resolution was provided

Enter the total number of standard appeals for which timely resolution was provided by plan during the reporting

Aetna

426

Anthem

period. 2,338 See 42 CFR §438.408(b)(2) for requirements related to timely resolution of standard appeals. Molina 8,169 **Optima** 290 **United Healthcare** 285 **Virginia Premier** 2,351 **Expedited appeals for which Aetna** timely resolution was 124 provided Enter the total number of **Anthem** expedited appeals for which timely resolution was provided 337 by plan during the reporting period. See 42 CFR §438.408(b)(3) for Molina requirements related to timely resolution of standard appeals. 99 **Optima**

D1IV.5b

15

United Healthcare

309

Virginia Premier

D1IV.6a Resolved appeals related to denial of authorization or

limited authorization of a

service

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of authorization for a service not yet rendered or limited authorization of a service.

(Appeals related to denial of payment for a service already rendered should be counted in indicator D1.IV.6c).

Aetna

551

Anthem

2,735

Molina

561

Optima

69

United Healthcare

593

Virginia Premier

222

D1IV.6b

Resolved appeals related to reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's reduction, suspension, or termination of a previously authorized service.

Aetna

0

Anthem

1,241

Molina

7

Optima

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

7

D1IV.6c Resolved appeals related to

payment denial

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial, in whole or in part, of payment for a service that was already rendered.

Aetna

0

Anthem

1,467

Molina

6,713

Optima

0

United Healthcare

11

Virginia Premier

1,093

D1IV.6d

Resolved appeals related to service timeliness

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's failure to provide services in a

Aetna

0

Anthem

timely manner (as defined by 0 the state). Molina 0 **Optima** 0 **United Healthcare** 0 **Virginia Premier** 0 Resolved appeals related to Aetna lack of timely plan response 0 to an appeal or grievance Enter the total number of Anthem appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that 242 were related to the plan's

D1IV.6e

failure to act within the timeframes provided at 42 CFR §438.408(b)(1) and (2) regarding the standard resolution of grievances and appeals.

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

D1IV.6f

Resolved appeals related to plan denial of an enrollee's right to request out-of-network care

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of an enrollee's request to exercise their right, under 42 CFR §438.52(b)(2)(ii), to obtain services outside the network (only applicable to residents of rural areas with only one MCO).

Aetna

10

Anthem

30

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

0

D1IV.6g

Resolved appeals related to denial of an enrollee's request to dispute financial liability

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of an enrollee's request to dispute a financial liability.

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

0

Appeals by Service

Number of appeals resolved during the reporting period related to various services. Note: A single appeal may be related to multiple service types and may therefore be counted in multiple categories.

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.7a	Resolved appeals related to	Aetna
	general inpatient services	18
	Enter the total number of	
	appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that	Anthem
	were related to general	848
	inpatient care, including	
	diagnostic and laboratory services.	Molina
	Do not include appeals related	1,041
	to inpatient behavioral health	
	services – those should be included in indicator D1.IV.7c. If	Optima
	the managed care plan does	1
	not cover general inpatient	
	services, enter "N/A".	United Healthcare
		39
		Virginia Premier
		28
D1IV.7b	Resolved appeals related to	Aetna
	general outpatient services	503
	Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan	
	during the reporting year that were related to general outpatient care, including	Anthem
		922
	diagnostic and laboratory services. Please do not include	
	appeals related to outpatient	Molina
	behavioral health services – those should be included in	2,982
	indicator D1.IV.7d. If the	
	managed care plan does not cover general outpatient	Optima
	services, enter "N/A".	2
		-

United Healthcare

74

Virginia Premier

1,119

D1IV.7c Resolved appeals related to inpatient behavioral health services

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to inpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover inpatient behavioral health services, enter "N/A".

Aetna

3

Anthem

79

Molina

2

Optima

1

United Healthcare

1

Virginia Premier

31

D1IV.7d Resolved appeals related to outpatient behavioral health

services

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient mental health and/or

substance use services. If the

Aetna

13

Anthem

managed care plan does not cover outpatient behavioral health services, enter "N/A".

Molina

4

Optima

38

United Healthcare

31

Virginia Premier

248

D1IV.7e Resolved appeals related to covered outpatient prescription drugs

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient prescription drugs covered by the managed care plan. If the managed care plan does not cover outpatient prescription drugs, enter "N/A".

Aetna

270

Anthem

628

Molina

243

Optima

224

United Healthcare

437

Virginia Premier

1,585

D1IV.7f Resolved appeals related to Aetna skilled nursing facility (SNF) N/A services Enter the total number of Anthem appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that 1 were related to SNF services. If the managed care plan does not cover skilled nursing Molina services, enter "N/A". 0 **Optima** 0 2 3

Poliv.7g Resolved appeals related to long-term services and supports (LTSS) Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to institutional LTSS or LTSS provided through home and community-based (HCBS) services, including personal care and self-directed services. If the managed care plan does not cover LTSS services, enter "N/A".

United Healthcare Virginia Premier Aetna N/A Anthem 4 Molina 11 **Optima** 4 **United Healthcare**

Virginia Premier

72

D1IV.7h Resolved appeals related to dental services

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to dental services. If the managed care plan does not cover dental services, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

1

Molina

N/A

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

1

D1IV.7i Resolved appeals related to non-emergency medical transportation (NEMT)

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to NEMT. If the managed care plan does not cover NEMT, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

0

D1IV.7j Resolved appeals related to other service types

Enter the total number of appeals resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to services that do not fit into one of the categories listed above. If the managed care plan does not cover services other than those in items D1.IV.7a-i, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

483

Molina

278

Optima

49

United Healthcare

19

Virginia Premier



Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.8a	State Fair Hearing requests	Aetna
	Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing requests filed during the reporting year with	0
	the plan that issued an adverse benefit determination.	Anthem
	Serienc determination:	24
		Molina
		3
		5
		Optima
		0
		United Healthcare
		34
		Virginia Premier
		9
D1IV.8b	State Fair Hearings resulting	Aetna
2	State Fair Hearings resulting in a favorable decision for	0
	the enrollee	
	Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing decisions rendered	Anthem
	during the reporting year that were partially or fully favorable to the enrollee.	7
		Molina
		1
		Optima
		0

		0
		Virginia Premier 2
D1IV.8c	State Fair Hearings resulting in an adverse decision for the enrollee	Aetna 0
	Enter the total number of State Fair Hearing decisions rendered during the reporting year that were adverse for the enrollee.	Anthem 6
		Molina
		1
		Optima
		0
		United Healthcare
		13
		Virginia Premier
		2
D1IV.8d	State Fair Hearings retracted	Aetna
	prior to reaching a decision Enter the total number of State	0
	Fair Hearing decisions retracted (by the enrollee or the representative who filed a State Fair Hearing request on behalf of the enrollee) during the	Anthem 5

United Healthcare

reporting year prior to reaching a decision.

Molina

1

Optima

0

United Healthcare

21

Virginia Premier

3

D1IV.9a External Medical Reviews resulting in a favorable decision for the enrollee

If your state does offer an external medical review process, enter the total number of external medical review decisions rendered during the reporting year that were partially or fully favorable to the enrollee. If your state does not offer an external medical review process, enter "N/A". External medical review is defined and described at 42 CFR §438.402(c)(i)(B).

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

N/A

D1IV.9b	External Medical Reviews resulting in an adverse	Aetna
	decision for the enrollee	O
	If your state does offer an external medical review	Anthem
	process, enter the total number of external medical review	0
	decisions rendered during the reporting year that were	Molina
	adverse to the enrollee. If your state does not offer an external	0
	medical review process, enter "N/A".	Optima
	External medical review is defined and described at 42	0
	CFR §438.402(c)(i)(B).	United Healthcare
		0
		Virginia Premier
		N/A

Grievances Overview

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.10	Grievances resolved	Aetna
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan	2,078
	during the reporting year. A grievance is "resolved" when	Anthem
	it has reached completion and been closed by the plan.	2,266
		Molina
		1,785
		Optima
		8
		United Healthcare
		579
		Virginia Premier
		1,049
D1IV.11	Active grievances	Aetna
	Enter the total number of grievances still pending or in	532
	process (not yet resolved) as of the end of the reporting year.	Anthem
		166
		Molina
		199
		Optima
		0

Un	ited	Heal	lthc	are
----	------	------	------	-----

150

Virginia Premier

10

D1IV.12 Grievances filed on behalf of LTSS users

Enter the total number of grievances filed during the reporting year by or on behalf of LTSS users.

An LTSS user is an enrollee who received at least one LTSS service at any point during the reporting year (regardless of whether the enrollee was actively receiving LTSS at the time that the grievance was filed). If this does not apply, enter N/A.

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

2

Optima

0

United Healthcare

79

Virginia Premier

6

D1IV.13 Number of critical incidents

filed during the reporting period by (or on behalf of) an LTSS user who previously filed a grievance

For managed care plans that cover LTSS, enter the number of critical incidents filed within

Aetna

0

Anthem

the reporting period by (or on behalf of) LTSS users who previously filed grievances in the reporting year. The grievance and critical incident do not have to have been "related" to the same issue they only need to have been filed by (or on behalf of) the same enrollee. Neither the critical incident nor the grievance need to have been filed in relation to delivery of LTSS - they may have been filed for any reason, related to any service received (or desired) by an LTSS user.

If the managed care plan does not cover LTSS, the state should enter "N/A" in this field. Additionally, if the state already submitted this data for the reporting year via the CMS readiness review appeal and grievance report (because the managed care program or plan were new or serving new populations during the reporting year), and the readiness review tool was submitted for at least 6 months of the reporting year, the state can enter "N/A" in this field. To calculate this number, states or managed care plans should first identify the LTSS users for whom critical incidents were filed during the reporting year, then determine whether those enrollees had filed a grievance

during the reporting year, and

whether the filing of the

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

N/A

Virginia Premier

grievance preceded the filing of the critical incident.

D1IV.14	Number of grievances for
	which timely resolution was
	provided

Enter the number of grievances for which timely resolution was provided by plan during the reporting period.

See 42 CFR §438.408(b)(1) for requirements related to the

timely resolution of grievances.

Aetna

2,075

Anthem

2,264

Molina

1,784

Optima

8

United Healthcare

421

Virginia Premier

1,037

Grievances by Service

Report the number of grievances resolved by plan during the reporting period by service.

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.15a	Resolved grievances related	Aetna
	to general inpatient services	0
Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general inpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Do not include grievances related to inpatient behavioral health services — those should be included in indicator D1.IV.15c. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".	grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general inpatient care, including	Anthem 0
	Molina	
	indicator D1.IV.15c. If the managed care plan does not	5
		Optima
	,	0
		United Healthcare
		9
		Virginia Premier
		58

D1IV.15b Resolved grievances related to general outpatient services

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to general outpatient care, including diagnostic and laboratory services. Do not include grievances related to outpatient behavioral health services — those should be included in indicator D1.IV.15d. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

6

Optima

0

United Healthcare

254

Virginia Premier

448

D1IV.15c Resolved grievances related to inpatient behavioral health services

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to inpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

0

Molina

1

Optima

0

United Healthcare

Virginia Premier

3

D1IV.15d

Resolved grievances related to outpatient behavioral health services

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient mental health and/or substance use services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

Aetna

0

Anthem

N/A

Molina

7

Optima

0

United Healthcare

19

Virginia Premier

53

D1IV.15e

Resolved grievances related to coverage of outpatient prescription drugs

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to outpatient prescription drugs covered by the managed care plan. If the managed care plan does not

Aetna

N/A

Anthem

42

Molina

cover this type of service, enter 277 "N/A". **Optima** 0 **United Healthcare** 10 **Virginia Premier** 84 Resolved grievances related **Aetna** to skilled nursing facility N/A (SNF) services Enter the total number of **Anthem** grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that 0 were related to SNF services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, Molina enter "N/A". 0 **Optima** 0 **United Healthcare** 1 **Virginia Premier** 0

D1IV.15g

D1IV.15f

Resolved grievances related to long-term services and

Aetna

supports (LTSS)

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to institutional LTSS or LTSS provided through home and community-based (HCBS) services, including personal care and self-directed services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

N/A

Anthem

0

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

2

Virginia Premier

6

D1IV.15h Resolved grievances related to dental services

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to dental services. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

Aetna

21

Anthem

0

Molina

20

Optima

0

United Healthcare

4

D1IV.15i Resolved grievances related to non-emergency medical transportation (NEMT)

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to NEMT. If the managed care plan does not cover this type of service, enter "N/A".

Aetna

621

Anthem

361

Molina

230

Optima

429

United Healthcare

277

Virginia Premier

28

D1IV.15j Resolved grievances related to other service types

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to services that do not fit into one of the categories listed above. If the managed care plan does not cover services other than those in items D1.IV.15a-i, enter "N/A".

Aetna

1,783

Anthem

2,229

Molina

Optima
1
United Healthcare
4
Virginia Premier
390

Grievances by Reason

Report the number of grievances resolved by plan during the reporting period by reason.

Number	Indicator	Response
D1IV.16a	Resolved grievances related to plan or provider customer service	Aetna 701
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan or provider customer service. Customer service grievances include complaints about interactions with the plan's Member Services department, provider offices or facilities, plan marketing agents, or any other plan or provider representatives.	Anthem 47 Molina 99 Optima 1 United Healthcare 1
		Virginia Premier 383
D1IV.16b	Resolved grievances related to plan or provider care management/case management	Aetna 1
	Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan or	Anthem 3
	provider care management/case management.	Molina 9
	Care management/case management grievances include complaints about the	Optima 0

timeliness of an assessment or complaints about the plan or provider care or case management process.

United Healthcare

19

Virginia Premier

4

D1IV.16c

Resolved grievances related to access to care/services from plan or provider

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to access to care. Access to care grievances include complaints about difficulties finding qualified innetwork providers, excessive travel or wait times, or other access issues.

Aetna

0

Anthem

70

Molina

467

Optima

0

United Healthcare

23

Virginia Premier

118

D1IV.16d

Resolved grievances related to quality of care

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to quality of care. Quality of care grievances include complaints about the

Aetna

0

Anthem

effectiveness, efficiency, equity, patient-centeredness, safety, and/or acceptability of care provided by a provider or the plan.

Molina

19

Optima

2

United Healthcare

144

Virginia Premier

152

D1IV.16e

Resolved grievances related to plan communications

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to plan communications.

Plan communication grievances include grievances related to the clarity or accuracy of enrollee materials or other plan communications or to an enrollee's access to or the accessibility of enrollee materials or plan communications.

Aetna

1

Anthem

47

Molina

145

Optima

0

United Healthcare

110

Virginia Premier

D1IV.16f Resolved grievances related to payment or billing issues

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were filed for a reason related to payment or billing issues.

Aetna

1,589

Anthem

915

Molina

407

Optima

1

United Healthcare

102

Virginia Premier

280

D1IV.16g

Resolved grievances related to suspected fraud

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to suspected fraud.

Suspected fraud grievances include suspected cases of financial/payment fraud perpetuated by a provider, payer, or other entity. Note: grievances reported in this row should only include grievances submitted to the managed care plan, not grievances submitted

Aetna

0

Anthem

23

Molina

6

Optima

0

United Healthcare

to another entity, such as a state Ombudsman or Office of the Inspector General.	Virginia Premier
Resolved grievances related to abuse, neglect or exploitation	Aetna 0
Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to abuse, neglect or exploitation. Abuse/neglect/exploitation grievances include cases involving potential or actual patient harm.	Anthem 0
	Molina 0
	Optima 0
	United Healthcare 0
	Virginia Premier 7
Resolved grievances related to lack of timely plan response to a service authorization or appeal	Aetna 0
(including requests to expedite or extend appeals)	Anthem 0
Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were filed due to a lack of timely plan response to a	Molina

D1IV.16h

D1IV.16i

timely plan response to a

service authorization or appeal request (including requests to expedite or extend appeals).

29

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

3

D1IV.16j Resolved grievances related to plan denial of expedited appeal

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were related to the plan's denial of an enrollee's request for an expedited appeal. Per 42 CFR §438.408(b)(3), states must establish a timeframe for timely resolution of expedited appeals that is no longer than 72 hours after the MCO, PIHP or PAHP receives the appeal. If a plan denies a request for an expedited appeal, the enrollee or their representative have the right to file a grievance.

Aetna

0

Anthem

1

Molina

0

Optima

0

United Healthcare

0

Virginia Premier

4

D1IV.16k

Resolved grievances filed for other reasons

Aetna

Enter the total number of grievances resolved by the plan during the reporting year that were filed for a reason other than the reasons listed above.

0

Anthem

31

Molina

618

Optima

3

United Healthcare

180

Virginia Premier

44

Topic VII: Quality & Performance Measures

Report on individual measures in each of the following eight domains: (1) Primary care access and preventive care, (2) Maternal and perinatal health, (3) Care of acute and chronic conditions, (4) Behavioral health care, (5) Dental and oral health services, (6) Health plan enrollee experience of care, (7) Long-term services and supports, and (8) Other. For composite measures, be sure to include each individual submeasure component.

Quality & performance measure total count: 7



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Child and Adolescent Well-Care 1/7 Visits—Total*

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Primary care access and preventative care

D2.VII.3 National

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Quality Forum (NQF)

Programs

number

Program-specific rate

1516

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

HEDIS

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna

45.46

Anthem

54.70

Molina

36.30

Optima

48.35

United Healthcare

53.96

Virginia Premier

47.62



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Prenatal and Postpartum Care: 2/7 Postpartum Care

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Maternal and perinatal health

D2.VII.3 National

Quality Forum (NQF)

number

1517

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Programs

Program-specific rate

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

HEDIS

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna

75.43

Anthem

65.69

61.31

Optima

63.50

United Healthcare

70.32

Virginia Premier

68.86



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Asthma Medication Ratio - Total*

3/7

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Care of acute and chronic conditions

D2.VII.3 National

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Quality Forum (NQF)

Programs

number

Program-specific rate

1800

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

HEDIS

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna

72.69

Anthem

71

Molina

72.45

Optima

65.61

United Healthcare

67.58

Virginia Premier

71.70



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness- 7 day Follow-up Total*

4/7

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Behavioral health care

D2.VII.3 National
Quality Forum (NQF)
number

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Programs

Program-specific rate

0576

D2.VII.6 Measure Set HEDIS	D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b Reporting period: Date range Yes		
D2.VII.8 Measure Description			
N/A			
Measure results			
Aetna			
40.12			
Anthem			
45.43			
Molina			
36.07			
Optima			
44.36			
United Healthcare			
44.38			
Virginia Premier			
42.47			



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Annual Preventive Dental Visits- 5/7 **Total***

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Dental and oral health services

D2.VII.3 National

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Quality Forum (NQF)

Programs

number

Program-specific rate

N/A

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

HEDIS

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna

Not Required (NQ)

Anthem

No Benefit (NB)

Molina

.32

Optima

No Benefit (NB)

United Healthcare

No Benefit (NB)

Virginia Premier

N/A



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Member Rating of Health Plan 6 / 7 (8+9+10)

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Health plan enrollee experience of care

D2.VII.3 National

Quality Forum (NQF)

number

0006

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

Programs

Cross-program rate: CCC Plus

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

HEDIS

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna

81

Anthem

78

76

Optima

83

United Healthcare

78

Virginia Premier

81



D2.VII.1 Measure Name: Ambulatory Care-Emergency **Department Visits**

D2.VII.2 Measure Domain

Utilization

D2.VII.3 National

Quality Forum (NQF)

Programs

number

Program-specific rate

N/A

D2.VII.6 Measure Set

D2.VII.7a Reporting Period and D2.VII.7b

D2.VII.4 Measure Reporting and D2.VII.5

7/7

HEDIS

Reporting period: Date range

Yes

D2.VII.8 Measure Description

N/A

Measure results

Aetna 53 Anthem 45 Molina 49.48 Optima 49 **United Healthcare** 47 Virginia Premier 44

Topic VIII. Sanctions

Describe sanctions that the state has issued for each plan. Report all known actions across the following domains: sanctions, administrative penalties, corrective action plans, other. Include any pending or unresolved actions.

42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(viii) specifies that the MCPAR include the results of any sanctions or corrective action plans imposed by the State or other formal or informal intervention with a contracted MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM entity to improve performance.

Sanction total count:

0 - No sanctions entered

Topic X. Program Integrity

Number	Indicator	Response
D1X.1	Dedicated program integrity staff Report or enter the number of dedicated program integrity staff for routine internal monitoring and compliance risks. Refer to 42 CFR 438.608(a)(1)(vii).	Aetna 52
		Anthem 39
		Molina
		5
		Optima
		20
		United Healthcare
		14.92
		Virginia Premier
		5
D1X.2	Count of opened program	Aetna
	integrity investigations	29
	How many program integrity investigations were opened by	
	the plan during the reporting year?	Anthem
		369
		Molina
		17
		Optima
		81

United Healthcar

93

Virginia Premier

66

D1X.3 Ratio of opened program integrity investigations to enrollees

What is the ratio of program integrity investigations opened by the plan in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries enrolled in the plan on the first day of the last month of the reporting year?

Aetna

0.1:1

Anthem

0.7:1

Molina

0.2:1

Optima

0.3:1

United Healthcare

0.5:1

Virginia Premier

0.2:1

D1X.4 Count of resolved program integrity investigations

How many program integrity investigations were resolved by the plan during the reporting year?

Aetna

12

Anthem

77

		17
		Optima 88
		United Healthcare 34
		Virginia Premier 48
D1X.5	Ratio of resolved program integrity investigations to enrollees	Aetna 0.1:1
	What is the ratio of program integrity investigations resolved by the plan in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries enrolled in the plan at the beginning of the reporting year?	Anthem 0.2:1
		Molina
		0.2:1
		Optima
		0.3:1
		United Healthcare
		0.2:1
		Virginia Premier
		0.1:1

D1X.6 Referral path for program integrity referrals to the state

What is the referral path that the plan uses to make program integrity referrals to the state? Select one.

Aetna

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

Anthem

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

Molina

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

Optima

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

United Healthcare

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

Virginia Premier

Makes referrals to the State Medicaid Agency (SMA) and MFCU concurrently

D1X.7 Count of program integrity referrals to the state

Enter the total number of program integrity referrals

Aetna

2

Anthem

6

Optima

25

United Healthcare

51

Virginia Premier

5

D1X.8 Ratio of program integrity referral to the state

What is the ratio of program integrity referral listed in the previous indicator made to the state in the past year per 1,000 beneficiaries, using the plan's total enrollment as of the first day of the last month of the reporting year (reported in indicator D1.I.1) as the denominator.

Aetna

0.01:1

Anthem

0.01:1

Molina

0.5:1

Optima

0.08:1

United Healthcare

0.3:1

Virginia Premier

D1X.9 Plan overpayment reporting to the state

Describe the plan's latest annual overpayment recovery report submitted to the state as required under 42 CFR 438.608(d)(3). Include, at minimum, the following information:

- The date of the report (rating period or calendar year).
- The dollar amount of overpayments recovered.
- The ratio of the dollar amount of overpayments recovered as a percent of premium revenue as defined in MLR reporting under 42 CFR 438.8(f)(2).

Aetna

N/A

Anthem

N/A

Molina

N/A

Optima

N/A

United Healthcare

N/A

Virginia Premier

N/A

D1X.10 Changes in beneficiary circumstances

Select the frequency the plan reports changes in beneficiary circumstances to the state.

Aetna

Daily

Anthem

Daily

Molina

Daily

Optima

Daily

United Healthcare

Daily

Virginia Premier

Daily

Section E: BSS Entity Indicators

Topic IX. Beneficiary Support System (BSS) Entities

Per 42 CFR 438.66(e)(2)(ix), the Managed Care Program Annual Report must provide information on and an assessment of the operation of the managed care program including activities and performance of the beneficiary support system. Information on how BSS entities support program-level functions is on the Program-Level BSS page.

Number	Indicator	Response
EIX.1	BSS entity type	Maximus
	What type of entity was contracted to perform each BSS activity? Check all that apply. Refer to 42 CFR 438.71(b).	Enrollment Broker
EIX.2	BSS entity role	Maximus
	What are the roles performed by the BSS entity? Check all that apply. Refer to 42 CFR 438.71(b).	Enrollment Broker/Choice Counseling